'Huge' Victory: New York Supreme Court Reinstates 10 Teachers Fired for Refusing COVID Vaccine

Tim New York City school teachers fired for refusing the COVID-19 vaccine on religious grounds must be reinstated with back pay, benefits, seniority and pension plus attorneys' fees immediately, a New York State Supreme Court Judge ruled Wednesday afternoon, in what Children's Health Defense attorney Christine Sarteschi termed a "monster victory" for ...

By Brenda Baletti, Ph.D., | | | | |

Who's the boy, who's he? Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day. **It's free**

New York City school teachers who had been fired for refusing the COVID-19 vaccine on religious grounds must be reinstated with back pay, benefits, seniority and pension plus attorneys' fees immediately, a New York State Supreme Court Judge ruled Wednesday afternoon.

Nearly 5,000 New York City Department of Education (DOE) workers who sought religious accommodations from the COVID-19 vaccine mandate were denied based on standards that a federal court later ruled unconstitutional.

Ten of those workers — represented by Children's Health Defense (CHD) in February, in a lawsuit sponsored in part by Children's Health Defense (CHD) and CHD New York.

The suit sought relief for 10 plaintiffs. It also sought class-action certification for all DOE workers who were denied religious accommodations.

On those bases, he granted relief to the plaintiffs' motion to grant class status, ruling the proposed class met the requirements for defining the class and the class claims.

The court denied relief to co-plaintiffs who did not complete the administrative process to request religious accommodations.

He found the lack of timely employment provided to such teacher made the denials "arbitrary and capricious," and the city's claim that accommodating plaintiffs would pose undue hardship based upon undue hardship on the city was also "arbitrary, capricious, and unreasonable."

"This court sees no real alternative to reinstating all plaintiff-class-action classroom teachers in amongst an admitted population of primarily unvaccinated students," he wrote.

Judge Puzo directed the plaintiffs' motion to grant class status, ruling the proposed class met the requirements for defining the class and the class claims.

The court denied relief to co-plaintiffs who did not complete the administrative process to request religious accommodations.

He found the lack of timely employment provided to such teacher made the denials "arbitrary and capricious," and the city's claim that accommodating plaintiffs would pose undue hardship based upon undue hardship on the city was also "arbitrary, capricious, and unreasonable."

"This court sees no real alternative to reinstating all plaintiff-class-action classroom teachers in amongst an admitted population of primarily unvaccinated students," he wrote.

On those bases, he granted relief to